

Korean J Parasitol Vol. 56, No. 6: 559-565, December 2018 https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2018.56.6.559

Molecular Detection and Phylogenetic Analysis of Anaplasma phagocytophilum in Horses in Korea

Min-Goo Seo^{1,2}, In-Ohk Ouh², Eunsang Choi³, Oh-Deog Kwon¹, Dongmi Kwak^{1,4,*}

¹College of Veterinary Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Korea; ²Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency, Gimcheon 39660, Korea; ³Mari Equine Clinic, Busan 46745, Korea; ⁴Cardiovascular Research Institute, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41944, Korea

Abstract: The identification and characterization of pathogenic and zoonotic tick-borne diseases like granulocytic anaplasmosis are essential for developing effective control programs. The differential diagnosis of pathogenic *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and non-pathogenic *A. phagocytophilum*-like *Anaplasma* spp. is important for implementing effective treatment from control programs. The objective of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of *Anaplasma* spp. in horses in Korea by nucleotide sequencing and restriction enzyme fragment length polymorphism assay. Of the 627 horses included in the study, only 1 (0.2%) was infected with *A. phagocytophilum*. Co-infection with *A. phagocytophilum*-like *Anaplasma* spp. was not detected in the study. The 16S rRNA sequence of *A. phagocytophilum* was similar (99.5-100%) to *A. phagocytophilum* 16S rRNA isolated from horses in other countries. PCR adapted to amplify *A. phagocytophilum groEL* and *msp2* genes failed to generate amplicons, suggesting genetic diversity in these genes. This study is the first molecular detection of *A. phagocytophilum* in horses in Korea. Human granulocytic anaplasmosis and animal infection of *A. phagocytophilum* have been reported in Korea recently. Because of vector tick distribution, global warming, and the increase of the horse industry, horses should be considered as a potential reservoir for *A. phagocytophilum*, and cross infectivity should be evaluated even though a low prevalence of infection was detected in this study. Furthermore, continuous surveillance and effective control measures for *A. phagocytophilum* should be established to prevent disease distribution and possible transmission to humans.

Key words: Anaplasma phagocytophilum, equine, granulocyte, phylogeny, restriction fragment length polymorphism

INTRODUCTION

Anaplasma phagocytophilum is the causative agent of granulocytic anaplasmosis in humans, dogs, cats, and horses, and tickborne fever in domestic ruminants [1]. In horses, equine granulocytic anaplasmosis (EGA) caused by *A. phagocytophilum* is characterized by thrombocytopenia [2]. *A. phagocytophilum* infection in humans causes human granulocytic anaplasmosis (HGA). Acute infections are self-limiting simultaneously with the increased adaptive immune responses and the activation of macrophages [3]. Granulocytic anaplasmosis is developed by the suppression of, or disorder in host defenses which predispose horses to opportunistic and/or secondary infections with virus, bacteria, and fungi [4].

© 2018, Korean Society for Parasitology and Tropical Medicine This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The rapid and exact diagnosis of zoonotic and pathogenic diseases such as HGA is important for risk assessment in tickborne disease control programs [5]. Therefore, it is essential to differentiate between pathogenic *A. phagocytophilum* and *A. phagocytophilum*-like *Anaplasma* spp. (APL) that do not cause clinical symptoms in infected animals and are considered non-pathogenic [5].

To date, multiple species of *Anaplasma* have been detected in Korea by PCR – *A. phagocytophilum* in shelter dogs [6] and shelter cats [7]; *A. phagocytophilum* and *A. bovis* in Korean water deer [8]; *A. bovis* in Korean spotted deer [9]; *A. phagocytophilum*, *A. bovis*, and *A. centrale* in ticks [10-14]; and *A. phagocytophilum* and APL in cattle [15].

On the other hand, *A. phagocytophilum* in horses has been only detected by immunofluorescent antibody (IFA) test [16] and by ELISA [17]. Although 16 samples were seropositive in the previous study [17], none of the samples tested positive for *A. phagocytophilum* 16S rRNA by nested PCR (nPCR). This indicates that the horses were previously exposed. In this direction, we assessed the prevalence, risk factors, and co-infectivity of *A*.

Received 26 September 2018, revised 14 December 2018, accepted 14 December 2018.
*Corresponding author (dmkwak@knu.ac.kr)

phagocytophilum and APL in horses in Korea by using nPCR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics statement

This study conducted during 2016-2017, failed to receive approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Kyungpook National University (KNU), as IACUC at KNU evaluates laboratory animals maintained in indoor facilities and does not regulate research involving outdoor animals. Blood samples were collected by practicing veterinarians at local clinics during monitoring, surveillance, and treatment, or during regular check-ups after receiving verbal consents from the farm owners.

Sample size determination and sample collection

In 2016, the total number of horses reared in Korea was recorded as 27,676 [18]. The sample size was determined using the following formula with an expected disease prevalence of 10%, an accepted absolute error of 5%, and a confidence level of 95% with a simple random sampling design [19]:

$$n = \frac{1.96^2 p_{exp} \left(1 - p_{exp}\right)}{d^2}$$

where n = required sample size, p_{exp} = expected prevalence,



Fig. 1. Map of Korea showing the regions from which the horse blood samples were collected to detect *Anaplasma* spp.

and d = desired absolute precision.

According to the formula, a minimum of 138 samples was required, but 627 horses were randomly selected from the whole country using a simple random sampling method during monitoring or surveillance in 2016-2017 (Fig. 1). Whole blood samples were collected and were stored at -20°C until use. Data on age, sex, breed, and region were recorded for analysis.

nPCR

Genomic DNA was extracted from the whole blood sample, using a commercial DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Melbourne, Australia) following the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA was stored at -20°C until use. The AccuPower HotStart PCR Premix kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea) was used for PCR amplification. nPCR amplification of 16S RNA using 2 primer pairs EE1/EE2 and EE3/EE4, with an expected amplicon of 928 bp was used to screen for Anaplasma spp. infection [20]. For species identification, PCR-positive samples were re-amplified to identify the 16S rRNA genes of A. phagocytophilum using the primer sets EE1/EE2 and SSAP2f/ SSAP2r [21,22], generating an expected amplicon of 641 bp. Gene fragments A. phagocytophilum heat shock protein (groEL) and major surface protein 2 (msp2) were also amplified using nPCR for the detection and/or characterization of A. phagocytophilum [6]. A. phagocytophilum detected from a dog [6] and A. phagocytophilum and APL detected from cattle [15] were included as positive controls.

Subtyping by restriction enzyme fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) assay

To subtype the strains and distinguish between *A. phagocyto-philum* and APL, the partial sequences from 16S rRNA, which were amplified using primers SSAP2f/SSAP2r by nPCR, were used for RFLP assay [5]. *XcmI* and *BsaI* restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK) were selected to discriminate between *A. phagocytophilum* and APL, and between APL clades A and B, respectively [5].

DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

The 16S rRNA amplicons from the infected animal were purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen), ligated into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA), and transformed into *Escherichia coli* DH5α-competent cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, Delaware, USA) and then incubated at 37°C overnight. Plasmid DNA extrac-

tion was then performed using a plasmid miniprep kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Recombinant clones were selected and sent to Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) for sequencing. The sequences were aligned and analyzed using the multiple sequence alignment program CLUSTAL Omega (v. 1.2.1), and the alignment was corrected using BioEdit (v. 7.2.5). Phylogenetic analysis was performed using MEGA (v. 6.0) based on the maximum likelihood method employing the Kimura 2-parameter distance model. The aligned sequences were analyzed using a similarity matrix. The stability of the trees obtained was estimated by bootstrap analysis for 1,000 replicates.

Statistical analysis

Chi-square test was performed to analyze significant differences among the groups and a value of P < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. GraphPad Prism (v. 5.04; GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, California, USA) was used for statistical analyses. A 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated for all estimates.

RESULTS

nPCR and RFLP

Of the 627 horses, nPCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene fragments using the EE3/EE4 and SSAP2f/SSAP2r primer pairs

Table 1. Prevalence of Anaplasma phagocytophilum using	y 16S
rRNA gene in horse bloods in Korea during 2016-2017	

Group	No. tested	Anaplasma phagocytophilum			
		No. p	ositive (%)	95% CI	P-value
Sex					
Female	293	()	0	0.4213
Male Castrated	104 230	1	(0.4)	0 0-1.3	
Age	100	0	`	0	0.2161
<0 5-10 <10	190 249	1) (0.5))	0-1.6 0	0.3101
Region Northern	319	()	0	0.1724
Central Southern	169 139	(1) (0.7)	0 0-2.1	
Breed					
Thoroughbred Warmblood	406 28	1) (0.2)	0-0.7 0	0.9089
Mixed	178	()	0	
Total	627	1	(0.2)	0-0.5	

CI, confidence interval.

identified only 1 (0.2%) horse as positive for Anaplasma spp. (Table 1). None was positive for A. phagocytophilum groEL and *msp2* gene fragments. The first discrimination between A. phagocytophilum and APL was accomplished by digesting the 16S rRNA amplicons (641-642 bp) with XcmI. A. phagocytophilum amplicons were cut to generate 344 and 297 bp fragments (Fig. 2A; lanes 3 and 5), while amplicons from APL were not cut by this enzyme (Fig. 2A; lane 7). In the second step, digestion of the same amplicons with Bsal distinguished APL clade A from clade B. APL clade B generated 2 fragments of 422 (or 423) and 219 bp, while the amplicon from APL clade A was not digested by this enzyme (Fig. 2B; lane 7). Co-infections of A. phagocytophilum and APL were detected (Fig. 2A; lane 9) by XcmI, and finally, APL was identified to belong to the APL clade A (Fig. 2B; lane 9) using Bsal. RFLP revealed that the infected horse carried A. phagocytophilum. Co-infection with APL was not detected in the infected animal. The horse positive for A. phagocytophilum was a 5-year old castrated thoroughbred in the southern region (Table 1). No statistically significant differences were observed with respect to any variables (P > 0.05).



Fig. 2. Restriction fragment length polymorphism assay. (A) Results of DNA restriction analysis using *Xcm*I. (B) Results of DNA restriction analysis using *Bsa*I.



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree constructed using maximum likelihood method, based on the 16S rRNA nucleotide sequences of *Anaplasma* phagocytophilum. The black arrow indicates the sequence analyzed in this study. A and B, two clades of APL.

Nucleotide sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

The results from the RFLP assay was confirmed by 16S rRNA sequencing. The *A. phagocytophilum* 16S rRNA sequence (H-GN-20) obtained in this study has been deposited to GenBank (accession number MH794246). Phylogenetic analysis showed that the 16S rRNA sequence of *A. phagocytophilum* (Fig. 3) clustered together with previously deposited *A. phagocytophilum* sequences.

The *A. phagocytophilum* 16S rRNA sequence shared 99.5-100% identity to several *A. phagocytophilum* sequences from Sweden, Switzerland, USA, and Korea, including the ones from cattle in Korea (100%, MG519284) [15], a horse in the USA (99.7%, AF172165), a horse in Sweden (99.5%, AY527213), and a horse in Switzerland (99.5%, AF057707).

DISCUSSION

Previous serological studies from Korea detected *A. phagocyto-philum* in horses (2.2%, 2/92) reared on Jeju Island by IFA test [16] and *A. phagocytophilum* (2.9%, 16/549) in horses by ELISA between 2009 and 2013 [17]. Although molecular studies were carried out among 549 horses in the same study, *A. phagocytoph-*

ilum could not be detected by PCR [17]. *Anaplasma* infection in horses has been described in other Asian countries, including *A. phagocytophilum* (2.1%, 120/486) by ELISA in Taiwan [2], *A. phagocytophilum* (10.7%, 16/150) by PCR in Pakistan [23], and *A. phagocytophilum* (3.4%, 3/87) by IFA in Japan [24].

In this study, only 1 (0.2%) of the 627 horse blood samples tested positive for A. phagocytophilum by nPCR analysis for 16S rRNA gene fragments. Additionally, RFLP analysis also identified it as A. phagocytophilum. The horse positive for A. phagocytophilum was a 5-year old castrated thoroughbred from Gyeongnam province in the southern region. This horse was a riding horse at a local community located in the southernmost city of Korea. The prevalence of Anaplasma spp. may differ between geographic locations in association with tick habitat and distribution [21,25]. Korea is gradually changing to a subtropical climate zone due to global warming, and Gyeongnam province is located at a lower latitude which favors tick survival. This difference may clarify the observed differences in the prevalence of ticks and tick-borne diseases. A similar study reported that the horses in the southern region of Korea had significantly higher seropositivity than those in other regions [17]. Furthermore, molecular prevalence (0.2%) in the present study was lower

than those of serological studies in Korea [16,17], Taiwan [2], and Japan [24] which have similar climate conditions. This might be attributed to the fact that antibodies persist in the blood longer than the antigen. Prevalence was different between breeds and a thoroughbred horse was found to be infected in the present study. Previous studies reported a higher seroprevalence in thoroughbred horses in Tunisia and warmblood horses in Korea than in other breeds [17,26].

In the present study, the infected horse did not present any clinical symptoms at the bleeding time. Generally, EGA presents with fever with ataxia, reluctance to move, distal limb edema, and depression. The symptoms of granulocytic anaplasmosis are nonspecific and this could describe why it was not diagnosed [27]. Clinical anaplasmosis in horses is perhaps underdiagnosed as most horses recover naturally and clinical symptoms are similar to those caused by infections with other pathogens such as equine herpes virus, *Babesia caballi, Theileria equi*, equine infectious anemia virus, *Leptospira, Borrelia burg-dorferi*, and equine arteritis virus [28].

This is the first study on the molecular detection of *A. phago-cytophilum* infection in horses in Korea. We observed a relatively lower prevalence of *A. phagocytophilum* infection compared to other studies in Korea – *A. phagocytophilum* (42/66, 63.6%) in Korean water deer [8]; *A. phagocytophilum* (20/764, 2.6%) and APL (16/764, 2.1%) in cattle [15]; and *A. phagocytophilum* (89/266 pools, 24.5%) in ticks collected from Korean water deer (*Hydropotes inermis argyropus*) [12]. This might be attributed to the differences in the study regions, hosts, breeds, vector ticks, sampling seasons, and climate. Other studies have reported a similar low prevalence in Korea – *A. phagocytophilum* (2/222, 0.9%) in shelter cats [7]. The prevalence of *Anaplasma* spp. were higher in wild animals and vector ticks as compared to domestic animals [6-8,12,15].

In Korea, the horse industry is gradually growing annually; the horse industry related indices for 2016 are higher than in 2015-2,278 horse industry companies, 479 horse-riding courses, and 16,662 horse-associated employees in 2016, whereas 2,052 horse industry companies, 457 horse-riding courses, and 15,845 horse-associated employees in 2015 [18]. Therefore, it is more important to prevent the spread of zoonotic diseases such as EGA between horses and humans. In this study, the infected horse was used for riding at a horse-riding institute, frequently came in contact with people, and was also allowed to graze freely in the nearby grassland area, thus allowing easy ac-

cess to tick vectors. Seroprevalence is thoroughly related to the activity of horse – high seroprevalence was detected in brood mares and horses used in riding clubs [26].

The genetic diversity of genus *Anaplasma* has been described by analyzing nucleotide sequences from diverse genes [29]. Although we tried to amplify *groEL* and *msp2* genes of *A. phagocytophilum*, PCR failed to amplify these genes, suggesting that their genetic diversities do not entirely explain the role of genotypes and ecotypes in epidemiological cycles [29]. While *gro-EL* and *msp2* genes could differentially identify *A. phagocytophilum*, 16S rRNA sequencing showed high identity to sequences from Korea and other countries previously deposited to Gen-Bank; thus, 16S rRNA sequencing was enough to identify the species of *Anaplasma* in this study.

The pathogenic and zoonotic tick-borne diseases caused by Anaplasma spp. are crucial, so it is critical to distinguish between A. phagocytophilum and APL (clades A and B) [5]. The deficiency of clinical symptoms in infected animals and the unique tick vectors further suggest that this novel Anaplasma strain (APL clade A) primarily found in Japan [30] might possibly be a new species. While the Anaplasma spp. strains identified in sheep and ticks infesting cattle differed from APL clade A and from all other classified and unclassified Anaplasma strains (APL clade B) in China [31]. Therefore, we additionally performed an RFLP analysis to distinguish between A. phagocytophilum and APL infection. Our results revealed that there was no co-infection with APL. RFLP assays do not need sequencing and cloning to evaluate strain prevalence and co-infection. Furthermore, it decreases the time spent and the costs of molecular diagnosis [5].

In conclusion, this is the first study on the molecular detection of *A. phagocytophilum* in horses reared in Korea. We analyzed some risk factors for *A. phagocytophilum* in this study. Since the *A. phagocytophilum* isolates from humans clustered with those from horses and cattle, the prevalence of EGA would have public health implications, necessitating effective control of EGA. Equine veterinarians should consider differential diagnosis of anaplasmosis from other febrile diseases. Further studies are needed to assess the clinical relevance of *A. phagocytophilum* and APL. Although previous studies have led to a better understanding of the evolutionary behavior and host-pathogen relations of *Anaplasma* spp., additional studies are required to identify the reservoir and vectors of *Anaplasma* spp. in Korea.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We have no conflict of interest related to this work.

REFERENCES

- Stuen S, Granquist EG, Silaghi C. Anaplasma phagocytophilum--a widespread multi-host pathogen with highly adaptive strategies. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2013; 3: 31.
- Chan KY, Wang CH, Wu YL. Serological survey of equine piroplasmosis, equine granulocytic anaplasmosis, and equine lyme disease in Taiwan. Taiwan Vet J 2010; 36: 261-267.
- 3. Rejmanek D, Foley P, Barbet A, Foley J. Evolution of antigen variation in the tick-borne pathogen *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. Mol Biol Evol 2012; 29: 391-400.
- Pusterla N, Madigan JE. Equine granulocytic anaplasmosis. J Equine Vet Sci 2013; 33: 493-496.
- 5. Ben Said M, Belkahia H, El Mabrouk N, Saidani M, Ben Hassen M, Alberti A, Zobba R, Bouattour S, Bouattour A, Messadi L. Molecular typing and diagnosis of *Anaplasma* spp. closely related to *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in ruminants from Tunisia. Ticks Tick Borne Dis 2017; 8: 412-422.
- Lee S, Lee SH, VanBik D, Kim NH, Kim KT, Goo YK, Rhee MH, Kwon OD, Kwak D. First molecular detection and phylogenetic analysis of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in shelter dogs in Seoul, Korea. Ticks Tick Borne Dis 2016; 7: 945-950.
- Lee SH, VanBik D, Kim NH, Park SJ, Kwon OD, Kim TH, Kwak D. First molecular detection and genetic analysis of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in shelter cats in Seoul, Korea. Infect Genet Evol 2016; 46: 71-73.
- Kang JG, Ko S, Kim YJ, Yang HJ, Lee H, Shin NS, Choi KS, Chae JS. New genetic variants of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and *Anaplasma bovis* from Korean water deer (*Hydropotes inermis argyropus*). Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2011; 11: 929-938.
- Lee M, Yu D, Yoon J, Li Y, Lee J, Park J. Natural co-infection of Ehrlichia chaffeensis and Anaplasma bovis in a deer in South Korea. J Vet Med Sci 2009; 71: 101-103.
- Kang JG, Kim HC, Choi CY, Nam HY, Chae HY, Chong ST, Klein TA, Ko S, Chae JS. Molecular detection of *Anaplasma, Bartonella*, and *Borrelia* species in ticks collected from migratory birds from Hong-do Island, Republic of Korea. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2013; 13: 215-225.
- Kang SW, Doan HT, Choe SE, Noh JH, Yoo MS, Reddy KE, Kim YH, Kweon CH, Jung SC, Chang KY. Molecular investigation of tick-borne pathogens in ticks from grazing cattle in Korea. Parasitol Int 2013; 62: 276-282.
- 12. Kang JG, Ko S, Kim HC, Chong ST, Klein TA, Chae JB, Jo YS, Choi KS, Yu DH, Park BK, Park J, Chae JS. Prevalence of *Anaplasma* and *Bartonella* spp. in ticks collected from Korean water deer (*Hydropotes inermis argyropus*). Korean J Parasitol 2016; 54: 87-91.
- 13. Kang JG, Ko S, Smith WB, Kim HC, Lee IY, Chae JS. Prevalence of Anaplasma, Bartonella and Borrelia species in Haemaphysalis

longicornis collected from goats in North Korea. J Vet Sci 2016; 17: 207-216.

- 14. Oh JY, Moon BC, Bae BK, Shin EH, Ko YH, Kim YJ, Park YH, Chae JS. Genetic identification and phylogenetic analysis of *Anaplasma* and *Ehrlichia* species in *Haemaphysalis longicornis* collected from Jeju island, Korea. J Bacteriol Virol 2009; 39: 257-267.
- Seo MG, Ouh IO, Kwon OD, Kwak D. Molecular detection of Anaplasma phagocytophilum-like Anaplasma spp. and pathogenic A. Phagocytophilum in cattle from South Korea. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2018; 126: 22-30.
- 16. Chae JS, Heo EJ, Park JH, Choi KS, Dumler JS, Lee SS, Kang TY, Yang JH, Kim DY, Kim JG, Choi GC, Kang MI. Detection of antibodies reacting with *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* from cats, horses and cattle in Korea. J Vet Clin 2009; 26: 515-519.
- Lee SH, Kim KT, Yun SH, Choi E, Lee GH, Park YS, Cho KH, Yi S, Kwon OD, Kim TH, Kwak D. Serological and molecular detection of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in horse reared in Korea. Vet Med 2015; 60: 533-538.
- Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, South Korea. Report of "A fact finding survey of horse industry in South Korea during 2016". 2017.
- 19. Thrusfield M. Veterinary Epidemiology. 3rd ed. Oxford, England. Blackwell Science. 2005, pp 305-330.
- Barlough JE, Madigan JE, DeRock E, Bigornia L. Nested polymerase chain reaction for detection of *Ehrlichia equi* genomic DNA in horses and ticks (*Ixodes pacificus*). Vet Parasitol 1996; 63: 319-329.
- Belkahia H, Ben Said M, Alberti A, Abdi K, Issaoui Z, Hattab D, Gharbi M, Messadi L. First molecular survey and novel genetic variants' identification of *Anaplasma marginale*, *A. centrale* and *A. bovis* in cattle from Tunisia. Infect Genet Evol 2015; 34: 361-371.
- 22. Kawahara M, Rikihisa Y, Lin Q, Isogai E, Tahara K, Itagaki A, Hiramitsu Y, Tajima T. Novel genetic variants of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Anaplasma bovis, Anaplasma centrale*, and a novel *Ehrlichia* sp. in wild deer and ticks on two major islands in Japan. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006; 72: 1102-1109.
- 23. Saleem S, Ijaz M, Farooqi SH, Rashid MI, Khan A, Masud A, Aqib AI, Hussain K, Mehmood K, Zhang H. First molecular evidence of equine granulocytic anaplasmosis in Pakistan. Acta Trop 2018; 180: 18-25.
- 24. Ybañez AP, Sato F, Nambo Y, Fukui T, Masuzawa T, Ohashi N, Matsumoto K, Kishimoto T, Inokuma H. Survey on tick-borne pathogens in thoroughbred horses in the Hidaka district, Hokkaido, Japan. J Vet Med Sci. 2013; 75: 11-15.
- 25. Liu Z, Ma M, Wang Z, Wang J, Peng Y, Li Y, Guan G, Luo J, Yin H. Molecular survey and genetic identification of *Anaplasma* species in goats from central and southern China. Appl Environ Microbiol 2012; 78: 464-470.
- 26. Ben Said M, Belkahia H, Héni MM, Bouattour A, Ghorbel A, Gharbi M, Zouari A, Darghouth MA, Messadi L. Seroprevalence of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in well maintained horses from northern Tunisia. Trop Biomed 2014; 31: 432-440.
- 27. Passamonti F, Veronesi F, Cappelli K, Capomaccio S, Coppola G,

Marenzoni ML, Piergili FD, Verini SA, Coletti M. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in horses and ticks: a preliminary survey of central Italy. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 2010; 33: 73-83.

- 28. Butler CM, Nijhof AM, Jongejan F, van der Kolk JH. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* infection in horses in the Netherlands. Vet Rec 2008; 162: 216-217.
- 29. Battilani M, De Arcangeli S, Balboni A, Dondi F. Genetic diversity and molecular epidemiology of *Anaplasma*. Infect Genet Evol 2017; 49: 195-211.
- 30. Ybañez AP, Matsumoto K, Kishimoto T, Inokuma H. Molecular analyses of a potentially novel *Anaplasma* species closely related to *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* detected in sika deer (*Cervus nippon yesoensis*) in Japan. Vet Microbiol 2012; 157: 232-236.
- 31. Kang YJ, Diao XN, Zhao GY, Chen MH, Xiong Y, Shi M, Fu WM, Guo YJ, Pan B, Chen XP, Holmes EC, Gillespie JJ, Dumler SJ, Zhang YZ. Extensive diversity of Rickettsiales bacteria in two species of ticks from China and the evolution of the Rickettsiales. BMC Evol Biol 2014; 14: 167.